

MICROBEADS: GENERATION, THREAT TO BIOLOGICAL AND ECOLOGICAL SYSTEMS AND USE OF NATURAL ALTERNATIVES

Wrushali A. Panchale¹, Jagdish V. Manwar^{2,*}, Minakshee G. Nimbawar¹, Ashish B. Wadekar¹,
Iftekhhar Ahmed¹, Ravindra L. Bakal¹

¹ IBSS's Dr. Rajendra Gode Institute of Pharmacy, Mardi Road, Amravati-444 602, MS, India.

² IBSS's Dr. Rajendra Gode College of Pharmacy, Mardi Road, Amravati-444 602, MS, India.

*Corresponding Author: Email: jymanwar@gmail.com

Received: 26 July 2021 / Revised: 28 August 2021 / Accepted: 26 September 2021 / Available online: 30 September 2021

ABSTRACT

Microbeads are solid plastic particles which are less than 1 mm in size and added to personal care products such as soap, facial scrub and toothpaste as exfoliating agents mainly to remove dead cells from the skin. They are often added as an exfoliant or application enhancer in personal care products such as rinse off cosmetics, and cleaning products. The over use of plastic results in accumulation of plastic waste in our ecosystems. They are not captured by most of the waste water treatment systems. Now a days, various countries started to ban microbeads for avoiding possible hazards and future consequences related to ecosystem. In present work, we have covered details of sources of microbeads, their toxic effects on biological and ecological system, precautions to be taken and finding of alternatives for microbeads.

Keywords – Microbeads; Microparticle; Cosmetics; Human; Ecosystem.

1. INTRODUCTION

Microbeads also known as microplastics are solid plastic particles which are less than 1 mm in size and added to personal care products such as soap, facial scrub and toothpaste as exfoliating agents mainly to remove dead cells from the skin [1]. These products have replaced our traditionally used natural exfoliating agents like ground almonds, oatmeal, and pumice. Commonly used synthetic microbeads are polyethylene, polypropylene and poly methyl methacrylate. They act as bulking agents, exfoliants, as a tooth polisher and apart from that, the incorporation into cosmetics, improves the shelf life by absorbing degradable ingredients. But prior to this, only natural abrasive materials had been used in these products, which are biodegradable in nature [2-3].

2. PLASTICENE

Plastics are synthetic polymers which also contain other chemicals to improve performance, commonly derived from petrochemical sources and have high ranges of molecular mass and plasticity. Microplastic particles have been ubiquitously detected in a broad range of shapes, polymers, sizes and concentrations in the environments of marine water, freshwater, agroecosystems, atmosphere, food and drinking water, biot and other remote locations [4].

Microplastic has been reported in every major open ocean and many fresh water lakes and rivers. As it is small in size which makes it bioavailable to thousands of species across nearly trophic levels. These contaminants are generally within almost all marine environments at present. The durability of plastics makes it highly resistant to degradation and through in discriminated disposal they enter in the aquatic environment (**Fig. 1**) [5-6].



Fig. 1: Microbeads in water contamination.

3. APPEARANCE

Microbeads are generally referred to particles with assize lower than 5mm. The appearance of plastic particles likes spherules of translucent to clear polystyrene, opaque to translucent polyethylene discs, pieces of styro foam, sheets of thin and flexible wrapping materials on the surface water. These microbeads are tiny plastic granules and used as scrubbers in cosmetics, hand cleansers, air-blasting [8] (**Fig. 2**).



Fig. 2: Microbeads in personnel care product.

4. SOURCE OF MICROBEADS

Primary microbeads and secondary microbeads which are developed from breakdown of primary due to environmental conditions are major threat to biodiversity. The microbeads exit as microfibers, microbeads and plastic pellets. All the plastic wastes that originate from domestic or industries or through other activities ends up on land. These find their ultimate destination in to sea or ocean through wind or rain [9].



Fig. 3: Microbeads source at seashore.

5. TYPES OF MICROBEADS

There are two types of microbeads.

5.1. Primary microbeads

Primary microbeads are defined as microscopic plastic fragments on the basis of size. On the basis of chemical composition, these primary microbeads are produced by the unintentional release of intermediate plastic feedstock and occur as by-products of processes such as particulate emissions from industrial production, maintenance of plastic or plastic-based materials, release of dust and fibres, and deterioration of plastic products. The plastic pellets are the raw material for manufacturing of plastic products (pellets to made plastic bags) and microbeads in human health care commodities [10-11].

The plastic pellets comprises of poly- ethylene (PE), polypropylene (PP) , polystyrene (PS) and polyolefin particles and are lipophilic in nature, i.e. they readily adsorb harmful and toxic chemicals from surrounding marine water on its surface. These tiny synthetic primary microbeads are also used as abrasives in various industries (cosmetics, cleaning products, pharmaceuticals and air-blasting media) [12-13].

Many hydrophobic and aromatic compounds such as polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), polycyclic aromatic hydro- carbons (PAHs) and dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT) have been detected to bond on the surface of pellets collected from marine environment [14-16].

5.2. Secondary microbeads

Secondary microbeads are defined as fragments of larger plastic items that suffer fragmentation found both in marine and terrestrial habitat. Another important process is photo degradation by ultraviolet radiation from sun- light which results in chemical bond cleavage of polymer matrix by the oxidation process [17].

6. EFFECTS ON BIOLOGICAL AND ECOLOGICAL SYSTEM

6.1. Threat to human life

A recent report from the *World Health Organization* emphasized the ubiquitous microbeads presence in the environment and aroused great concern regarding the exposition and effects of nano and microbeads on human health. One of the major nano and microplastic entry points into the human system is represented by the ingestion of contaminated food [18].

In a recent study, 0.44 MPs/g of nano and microbeads were found in sugar, 0.11 MPs/g were found in salt, 0.03 MPs/g were found in alcohol, and 0.09 MPs/g were found in bottled water. Humans is also assuming an estimated intake of 80 g per day of microbeads via plants (fruits and vegetable) that accumulate microbeads through uptake from polluted soil.

6.2. Effect on ecological systems

These small pieces of plastic, resemble a plentiful food source for fish and different aquatic creatures that are in distinguishable as plastic particles. These creatures often mistake microbeads for sediment, zooplankton, or other small organisms. This consumption of plastic can cause a variety of dangerous complications. The beads can physically clog up the fishes' stomachs and prevent them from getting adequate nutrition as a result [19-20]. The beads cannot be expelled through normal processes and instead become stuck in the animal's stomach or intestines. With the buildup of plastic, the animals are unable to eat and eventually die from lack of food or health complications caused by the plastic build up [21]. Sadly, list of consequences from the prevalence of micro beads goes beyond marine death. When marine ingest these beads, they are ingesting more than just plastic, an already harmful substance on its own. Microbeads can act as like sponge like material that soaks up different chemicals and toxins [22].

7. NATURAL ALTERNATIVES

There can be a number of alternatives such as crushed walnut shells, oats, sugar, baking soda, finely ground sugar, coffee grounds, finely ground almonds, oatmeal, finely ground sea salt, cinnamon and jojoba seeds to the plastic microbeads, often promoted as 'natural' alternatives (**Fig. 4**). There has also been a renewed effort to develop synthetic biodegradable microbeads based on materials such as cellulose. With many non-plastic alternatives already in use in PCCPs and others under development, care must be taken so that the alternatives do not come with environmental costs that potentially outweigh the benefits resulting from the bans. In this study, therefore, we compare the environmental performance of a wide range of alternatives used as microabrasives on a life cycle basis [23-30].



Fig. 4: Natural alternatives to microbeads.

8. ANALYSIS OF MICROBEADS

Microbeads are analyzed by routine quality control methods. These methods are also used for various phyto as well as pharmaceutical, non-pharmaceutical and other chemical products. These includes high performance thin layer chromatography, high performance liquid chromatography, UV-spectrophotometry, gas chromatography, etc [31-81].

9. CONCLUSION

Thus, microbeads are proving as a great threat to the humans, animals and environment. There is urgent need to search non-plastic microbeads in cosmetics to prevent their possible hazards.

10. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We express our sincere thanks to Shri. Yogendraji Gode and Dr. Yogeshji Gode, IBSS's Dr. Rajendra Gode Institute of Pharmacy, Amravati and Dr. Rajendra Gode College of Pharmacy, Amravati.

11. DISCLOSURE OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The author declares no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Barboza, L.G.A., Gimenez, B.C.G., Microplastics in the marine environment: current trends and future perspectives. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 2015. 97, 5–12.
2. Cole, M., Lindeque, P., Halsband, C., Galloway, T.S., Microplastics as contaminants in the marine environment: a review. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 2011. 62, 2588–2597.
3. Reisser, J., Shaw, J., Wilcox, C., Hardesty, B.D., Proietti, M., Thums, M., Pattiaratchi, C., Marine plastic pollution in waters around Australia: characteristics, concentrations, and pathways. *PLoS One.* 2013. 8 (11), e80466. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0080466>
4. Mato, Y., Isobe, T., Takada, H., Kanehiro, H., Ohtake, C., Kaminuma, T., Plastic resin pellets as a transport medium for toxic chemicals in the marine environment. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2001; 35, 318–324. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es0010498>.
5. Napper, I.E., Bakir, A., Rowland, S.J., Thompson, R.C., Characterization, quantity and sorptive properties of microplastics extracted from cosmetics. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 2015; 99:178–185.
6. Ryan, P.G., Moore, C.J., van Franeker, J.A., Moloney, C.L., Monitoring the abundance of plastic debris in the marine environment. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B.* 2009; 364:1999–2012.
7. Isobe, A., Uchida, K., Tokai, T., Iwasaki, S., East Asian seas: a hot spot of pelagic microplastics. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 2015; 101: 618–623.
8. Kukulka, T., Proskurowski, G., Morét-Ferguson, S., Meyer, D.W., Law, K.L., The effect of wind mixing on the vertical distribution of buoyant plastic debris. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 2012; 39: L07601. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2012GL051116>.
9. Abidli, S., Toumi, H., Lahbib, Y., Trigui El Menif, N., The First Evaluation of Microplastics in Sediments from the Complex Lagoon-Channel of Bizerte (Northern Tunisia). *Water Air Soil Pollut.* 2017; 228, 262.
10. Abidli, S., Antunes, J.C., Ferreira, J.L., Lahbib, Y., Sobra, I.P., Trigui El Menif, N., Microplastics in sediments from the littoral zone of the north Tunisian coast (Mediterranean Sea). *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* 2018; 205, 1–9.
11. Alomar, C., Estarellas, F., Deudero, S., Microplastics in the Mediterranean Sea: Deposition in coastal shallow sediments, spatial variation and preferential grain size. *Mar. Environ. Res.* 2016; 115, 1–10.

12. Anderson, A.G., Grose, J., Pahl, S., Thompson, R.C., Wyles, K.J., Microplastics in personal care products: exploring perceptions of environmentalists, beauticians and students. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 2016; 113, 454–460.
13. Besley, A., Vijver, M.G., Behrens, P., Bosker, T., A standardized method for sampling and extraction methods for quantifying microplastics in beach sand. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 2017; 114, 77–83.
14. Blašković, A., Fastelli, P., Čížmek, H., Guerranti, C., Renzi, M., 2017. Plastic litter in sediments from the Croatian marine protected area of the natural park of Telaščica bay (Adriatic Sea). *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 114, 583–586.
15. Boucher, J., Friot, D., Primary Microplastics in the Oceans: A Global Evaluation of Sources. 2017. <https://doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.CH>.
16. Cannas, S., Fastelli, P., Guerranti, C., Renzi, M., Plastic litter in sediments from the coasts of south Tuscany (Tyrrhenian Sea). *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 2017; 119: 372–375.
17. Lei L, Wu S, Lu S, Liu M, Song Y, Fu Z, et al. Microplastic particles cause intestinal damage and other adverse effects in zebrafish *Danio rerio* and nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Sci Total Environ.* 2018;619-620:1-8. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.103.
18. Pitt JA, Kozal JS, Jayasundara N, Massarsky A, Trevisan R, Geitner N, et al. Uptake, tissue distribution, and toxicity of polystyrene nanoparticles in developing zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). *Aquat Toxicol.* 2018; 194:185–94. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2017.11.017>.
19. Ruan J, Qin B, Huang J. Controlling measures of micro-plastic and nano pollutants: A short review of disposing waste toners. *Environ Int.* 2018;118: 92–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2018.05.038>.
20. Imhof HK, Rusek J, Thiel M, Wolinska J, Laforsch C. Do microplastic particles affect *Daphnia magna* at the morphological, life history and molecular level? *PLoS One.* 2017;12: e0187590. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0187590>.
21. Schwabl P, Koppel S, Konigshofer P, Bucsecs T, Trauner M, Reiberger T, et al. Detection of Various Microplastics in Human Stool A Prospective Case Series. *Ann Intern Med.* 2019; 171:453. <https://doi.org/10.7326/M19-0618>
22. Rochman CM, Hentschel BT, Teh SJ. Long-Term Sorption of Metals Is Similar among Plastic Types: Implications for Plastic Debris in Aquatic Environments. *Plos One.* 2014;9. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0085433>
23. Zitko, V. & Hanlon, M. Another source of pollution by plastics: skin cleaners with plastic scrubbers. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 1991; 22: 41-42.
24. Gregory, M. R. Plastic ‘scrubbers’ in hand cleansers: a further (and minor) source for marine pollution identified. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 1996;32: 867-871.
25. Fendall, L. S. & Sewell, M.A. Contributing to marine pollution by washing your face: microplastics in facial cleansers. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 2009; 58:1225–1228.
26. Beach, WJ. Skin cleaner. US patent US3645904A (1972).
27. Leslie, H. A. Review of Microplastics in Cosmetics (Institute for Environmental Studies, 2014).
28. Defra Implementation of the Environmental Protection (Microbeads) (England) Regulations 2017 Impact Assessment Report No. Defra2083 (HMSO, 2017).
29. Cole, M. et al. Microplastic ingestion by zooplankton. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2013; 47, 6646–6655.

30. Motule AS, et al. Development and physicochemical evaluation of bilayered transdermal patches of ondansetron hydrochloride. *Journal of Innovations in Pharmaceutical and Biological Sciences*. 2021; 8(3): 17-23.
31. Chaudhari KD, et al. Floating drug delivery system: An update of preparation and classification of formulation. *Ijppr.Human*. 2021; 21(1): 207-220.
32. Chaudhari KD, et al. Comprehensive review on characterizations and application of gastro-retentive floating drug delivery system. *GSC Advanced Research and Reviews*. 2021; 07(01): 035-044.
33. Dhamankar AK, et al. The novel formulation design of O/of ketoprofen for improving transdermal absorption. *Int J of Pharm Tech Res*. 2009; 4(1Suppl): 1449-1457.
34. Jain CM, et al. Review on approaches for development and evaluation of extended-release tablets. Review on approaches for development and evaluation of extended-release tablets. *World Journal f Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences*. 2021; 10(4): 542-554.
35. Kadam CY, et al. Design and *In vitro* characterization of phase transition system using rivastigmine tartrate for nasal drug delivery system. *World Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*. 2018; 8(1): 815-829.
36. Malode GP, et al. Formulation and evaluation of a novel floating in situ gel system for the treatment of peptic ulcer. *World Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences*. 2021; 10(4): 416-1433.
37. Manwar J, Kumbhar DD, Bakal RL, Baviskar SR, Manmode RS. Response surface-based co-optimization of release kinetics and mucoadhesive strength for an oral mucoadhesive tablet of cefixime trihydrate. *Bulletin of Faculty of Pharmacy. Cairo University*. 2016; 54: 227–235.
38. Manwar JV, et al. Diclofenac Sodium Loaded Nanosized Ethosomes: An Investigation on Z-Average, Polydispersity and Stability. *J Pharm Res*. 2017; 1(3): 000115.
39. Nimbawar MG, et al. A brief review on principle, preparation and properties of proniosomes: A provesicular drug delivery system. *World J Pharm Sci*. 2021; 9(5): 149-162.
40. Nimbawar MG, et al. Fabrication and evaluation of ritonavir proniosomal transdermal gel as a vesicular drug delivery system. *Pharmacophore*. 2016; 7(2): 82-95.
41. Patil SS, et al. Ultrasound-Assisted Facile Synthesis of Nanostructured Hybrid Vesicle for the Nasal Delivery of Indomethacin: Response Surface Optimization, Microstructure, and Stability. *AAPS PharmSciTech*. 2019; 20(3): 97.
42. Pophalkar PB, et al. Development and evaluation of ondansetron medicated jelly. *World Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*. 2018; 7(19): 1252-1263.
43. Shubham Garibe, et al. Bioequivalence study of test formulations T1 and T2 Nadolol tablets USP with reference formulation in healthy adult, human subjects under fed conditions. *Ijppr.Human*. 2021; 20(2): 20-28.
44. Suroshe RS, et al. Development and characterization of osmotic drug delivery system of model drug. *World Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*. 2018; 7(18): 1158-1171.
45. Vaidya VM, et al. Design and in vitro evaluation of mucoadhesive buccal tablets of terbutaline sulphate. *Int J PharmTech Res*. 2009; 1(3): 588-597.

46. Vohra M, et al. Bioethanol production: Feedstock and current technologies. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*. 2014; 2 (1): 573-584.
47. Gulhane CA, Motule AS, et al. An Overview on Nail Drug Delivery System: A Promising Application for Various diseases. *European Journal of Biomedical and Pharmaceutical Sciences*. 2021; 8(2): 104-110.
48. Dongare PN, Motule AS, et al. Recent development in novel drug delivery systems for delivery of herbal drugs: An update. *GSC Advanced Research and Reviews*. 2021; 8(08): 008-018.
49. Mankar SS, Motule AS, et al. Progress in development of herbal cosmeceuticals: An current status and prospects. *International Journal of Medical, Pharmaceutical and Biological Sciences*. 2021; 1(2): 1-11.
50. Bakal RL, et al. Spectrophotometric estimation of amitriptyline HCl and chlordiazepoxide in pharmaceutical dosage form. *Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Education and Research*. 2008; 42: 23-26.
51. Bakal RL, et al. Spectrophotometric estimation of amitriptyline HCL and chlordiazepoxide in tablet dosage form. *International Journal of Chemical Sciences*. 2007; 5(1): 360-364.
52. Gulhane CA, et al. UV- Visible Spectrophotometric estimation of azithromycin and cefixime from tablet formulation by area under curve method. *World Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*. 2021; 9(6): 163-168.
53. Manwar JV, et al. Development of newer RP-HPLC method for simultaneous estimation of cefixime and linezolid in bulk drugs and combined dosage form. *International Journal of Pharmacy and Life Sciences*. 2021; 12(1): 26-31.
54. Gulhane CA, et al. Liquid chromatographic method for simultaneous estimation of thiocolchicoside and etoricoxib from tablet formulation. *Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical Analysis*. 2021; 11(2): 118-122.
55. Manwar JV, et al. Application of simultaneous equation method for the determination of azithromycin and cefixime trihydrate in tablet formulation. *Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology*. 2017; 10(1): 108-112.
56. Bagade SB, et al. Simultaneous high performance thin layer chromatographic estimation of methocarbamol and nimesulide in combined dose tablet. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*. 2006; 5(4): 137-140.
57. Manwar J, Mahadik K, Paradkar A, et al. Gas chromatography method for the determination of non-ethanol volatile compounds in herbal formulation. *International Journal of Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*. 2013; 3(1): 12-17.
58. Panchale WA, et al. Concurrent analysis of ambroxol HCl and salbutamol sulphate from tablet formulation by RP-HPLC. *GSC Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences*. 2020; 13(03): 197-202.
59. Panchale WA, et al. RP-HPLC method for simultaneous determination of escitalopram oxalate and flupentixol HCl in tablet dosage form. *GSC Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences*. 2021; 14(01): 169-174.
60. Panchale WA, et al. RP-HPLC method for simultaneous determination of metformin hydrochloride and linagliptine in pharmaceutical dosage form. *World Journal of Pharmaceutical and Medical Research*. 2021; 7(5): 234- 238.
61. Panchale WA, et al. Simultaneous estimation of salbutamol sulphate and ambroxol HCl from their combined dosage form by UV-Vis spectroscopy using simultaneous equation method. *GSC Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences*. 2020; 13(03): 127-134.
62. Sabhadinde AF, et al. Novel RP-HPLC method for simultaneous analysis of chlorthalidone and telmisartan from combined dosage form. *Ijppr.Human*. 2020; 20(1): 491-502.

63. Manwar JV, et al. Experimental design approach for chromatographic determination of ketorolac tromethamine from bulk drug and tablet formulation. *Global Journal of Pharmacy & Pharmaceutical Sciences*. 2017 ;3(2): 38-47.
64. Manwar JV, et al. Rapid RP-HPLC method for estimation of zidovudine from tablet dosage form. *Der Chemica Sinica*.2011; 2(5): 152-156.
65. Manwar JV, et al. Response surface based optimization of system variables for liquid chromatographic analysis of candesartan cilexetil. *Journal of Taibah University for Science*. 2017; 11: 159–172.
66. Manwar JV, Mahadik KR, Paradkar AR, et al. Determination of withanolides from the roots and herbal formulation of *Withania somnifera* by HPLC using DAD and ELSD detector. *Der Pharmacia Sinica*. 2012; 3: 41–46.
67. Nimbokar SW, et al. Development and validation of RP-HPLC method for determination of zonisamide from tablet formulation. *World Journal of Pharmaceutical and Medical Research*. 2021; 7(2): 196-200.
68. Panchale WA, Bakal RL. First-order derivative spectrophotometric estimation of gemifloxacin mesylate and ambroxol HCl in tablet dosage form. *GSC Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences*. 2021; 14(2): 029-036.
69. Manmode RS, et al. Stability indicating HPLC method for simultaneous determination of methocarbamol and nimesulide from tablet matrix. *Der Chemica Sinica*.2011; 2(4): 81-85.
70. Panchale WA, et al. Chromatographic analysis of famotidine, paracetamol and ibuprofen from tablet formulation. *Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology*. 2019; 12: 231-263.
71. Nimbawar MG, et al. An overview of characterizations and applications of proniosomal drug delivery system. *GSC Advanced Research and Reviews*. 2021; 07(02): 025-034.
72. Nimbawar MG, et al. An overview of characterizations and applications of proniosomal drug delivery system. *GSC Advanced Research and Reviews*. 2021; 07(02): 025-034.
73. Prajakta N. Dongare, Ravindra Bakal, Manisha More, Prerana Patinge. Review: Carbon nanotubes in Cancer therapy. *International journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical research* Dec 2020, 20(1): 759-765.
74. More MP, et al. Pharmacognosy, phytochemistry, pharmacology and clinical application of Ginkgo Biloba. *GSC Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences*. 2021; 16(2):229-240.
75. Motule AS, et al. Ethnopharmacological relevances of herbal plants used in cosmetics and toiletries preparations. *GSC Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences*. 2021; 16(2):241-263.
76. Bartere SA, Malode LL, et al. Exploring the potential of herbal drugs for the treatment of hair loss. *Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences*. 2021; 16(02), 212–223.
77. Gulhane CA, et al. Black phosphorus nanosheet-based new drug delivery system for the anticancer agents: A review. 2021;16(02):014–027.
78. Nikhare AM, et al. Morphological, phytochemical and pharmacological aspects of *syzigium cumini*. *International Journal of Medical, Pharmaceutical and Biological Sciences*. 2021; 1(1):1-11.
79. Bijewar AH, et al. Overture in Development, Properties and Clinical Aspects of Biosurfactants: A Review. *International Journal of Medical, Pharmaceutical and Biological Sciences*. 2021; 1(1):1-12.

80. Motule AS, et al. Favipiravir: A Critical review of pharmacology, pre-clinical data, and emerging clinical uses in COVID-19. IJRASET.2021; 9(VIII): 2521-2527.
81. Jaiswal MS, et al. A comprehensive review on- plant, animal and micoalgea as an alternative aspect for the production of the biodiesel. International Journal of Innovative Research in Technology (IJIRT). 2021; 8(3): 793-803.